

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

211

CRM-M-38930-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 11.01.2021

SUKHWINDER SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Gahlawat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sagar Aggarwal, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 249 dated 16.05.2020, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Pehowa, District Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations in the FIR are that the petitioner was a witness on the agreement to sell which is alleged to be forged. He, however, contends that the complainant has compromised the matter with the beneficiary of the agreement to sell, namely, Darbara Singh and FIR has been quashed qua Darbara Singh and others. He has referred to the copy of the order dated 02.09.2020 (Annexure P4) passed by this Court in CRM-M No. 25621 of 2020 whereby the FIR was quashed qua the petitioners therein. He also contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated, as he is the brother-in-law of the husband of the complainant and there is a matrimonial dispute between the complainant and her husband.

Learned counsel for the complainant contends that in view of the serious allegations against the petitioner, he is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

This Court, by the order dated 25.11.2020, had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel states that although the petitioner has joined the investigation but he is not cooperating.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner and the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 25.11.2020 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

11.01.2021

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No