

229

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9781-2021

Date of decision 26.10.2021

Pavanpreet Kaur & another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: None for the petitioners.

Ms. Monika Jalota, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab,

assisted by ASI Jitender Kumar.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

This petition was filed for issuance of directions to the official respondents for protection of life and liberty of the petitioners from the private respondents arrayed in the petition.

As per the pleadings the petitioners had performed marriage against the wishes of their parents and there was a threat perception.

On 13.10.2021, the following order was passed:-

*“Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only at
this stage.*

*Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG Punjab, appearing on
advance notice accepts the same and seeks time to have
instructions.*

Put up on 26.10.2021.

In the meantime, the police official not below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police should record the statements of the petitioners.

In case a request is made by the petitioners, they may be provided shelter in safe house till the next date.”

Learned State counsel has produced statements of petitioners as well as private respondents recorded by Mohd. Sarfarz, DSP, Nihal Singh Wala Moga. It is stated therein by the petitioners that there is no threat perception. As per statements of private respondents, they do not have any objection to the marriage solemnised by the petitioners.

None has put in appearance for the petitioners.

In view of statements of petitioners, no further directions are called for.

The petition is disposed of as infructuous.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

26th October, 2021
jitesh

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes /No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes /No