116 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-21392-2021
Date of Decision: October 25, 2021

Ramesh Chand Yaduwanshi .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

*****

LISA GILL, J

This matter is being taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of the pandemic, COVID-19.

Petitioner seeks quashing of orders dated 18.06.2015 and 03.12.2016 whereby petitioner's claim for promotion as Head of Department of Computer Engineering has been declined as well as quashing of communication dated 14.09.2021 wherein it is conveyed that all promotion matters are kept pending.

Petitioner had earlier filed CWP-15026-2021 seeking quashing of order dated 18.06.2015 and 03.12.2016, which was dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondents for redressal of his grievance as it was noticed that petitioner had not approached the official respondents for redressal thereof.

As per communication dated 14.09.2021 issued by the Deputy Director (Society) to The Principal, Government Polytechnic Education Society, Uttawar, it was informed that all matters regarding promotion qua Government Education Societies have been ordered to be kept pending till closing/ amalgamation. Therefore, petitioner's case can also not be considered till then.

RITU SHARMA
2021.10.28 14:46
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to deny that petitioner's case has not been rejected on merits and has admittedly not been decided in view of order passed by the Minister of Education to the effect that all promotion matters qua Government Polytechnic Societies be kept pending till closing/amalgamation. There is no averment that the case of promotion of any other candidate has been taken up for consideration in the interregnum. Learned counsel is unable to point out any specific prejudice caused to the petitioner by the delay. Mere apprehension of the petitioner expecting rejection of his claim has been expressed.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, this writ petition is clearly premature and is dismissed as such.

(Lisa Gill)
October 25, 2021 Judge
Rts

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.
Whether reportable : Yes/No.

RITU SHARMA
2021.10.28 14:46
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document