

**In The High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

(I)

CRM-M-38973-2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 17.3.2021

Jaspal Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

(II)

CRM-M-2748-2021 (O&M)

Malkiat Kour @ Shally

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

(III)

CRM-M-42296-2020 (O&M)

Navratan Singh @ Paras

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, DAG, Punjab,
assisted by SI Parminder Kaur.

(Proceedings conducted through video conferencing)

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. This order shall dispose of the above mentioned three petitions wherein petitioners Jaspal Singh, Malkiat Kour @ Shally and Navratan Singh @ Paras, seek grant of anticipatory bail in a case registered vide FIR No. 167, dated 23.8.2020, Police Station Majitha, Amritsar (Rural), under Sections 306, 34 IPC.
2. At the time of issuance of notice of motion in CRM-M-2748-2021 titled *Malkiat Kour @ Shalley Vs. State of Punjab*, the following order was passed:

“ Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that even as per the FIR the only allegation is that one Sumit was driven to commit suicide by his wife and inlaws as his inlaws used to take away the wife of the deceased with them and on account of which the aforesaid Sumit remained sad. Learned counsel has submitted that although the petitioner is wife of the deceased Sumit but infact the entire allegation even if taken to be true are stated to have been made against the inlaws of the deceased and not specifically against the petitioner.

Notice of motion for 17.3.2021.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner

shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

3. While issuing notice of motion in CRM-38973-2020 titled *Jaspal Singh Vs. State of Punjab*, the following contention raised on behalf of petitioner Jaspal Singh was noticed:

“The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner Jaspal Singh, aged about 66 years, is father-in-law of deceased Sumit and is disabled to the extent of 60%, as would be evident from the disability certificate (Annexure P-2) and it is highly improbable that he would have any role in commission of suicide by Sumit.”

4. The petitioners before this Court are the father-in-law, brother-in-law and wife of the deceased.
5. The learned State counsel, upon instructions from SI Parminder Kaur, has informed that pursuant to interim directions issued by this Court in all the three petitions, the petitioners have since joined investigation and their custodial interrogation are not required.
6. Having regard to the nature of allegations and that the petitioners have since joined investigation and are not required for any custodial interrogation, the petitions are accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 25.11.2020 (in CRM-M-38973-2020), 16.12.2020 (in CRM-M-42296-2020) and 21.1.2021 (in CRM-M-2748-2021) are hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioners shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating

Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438
(2) Cr.P.C.

March 17, 2021
mohan

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No