

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**103**

**CRM-M-39393-2020  
Date of decision: 17.02.2021**

Sunil

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI**

Present : Mr. Parveen Kaushik, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl. A.G., Haryana  
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Amardeep Hooda, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\*\*\*\*

**ARUN KUMAR TYAGI, J. (ORAL)**

(The case has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing.)

The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'the Cr.P.C.') for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.655 dated 01.10.2020 registered under Sections 323, 354 and 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 12 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 in Police Station Shivaji Colony, Rohtak.

Additional reply by way of affidavit of Sh. Mahesh Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Rohtak on behalf of the respondent-State has been filed in the Registry which is taken on record.

After arguing for some time, learned Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner does not want to continue

with the present petition and the same may be dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

Dismissed as withdrawn at this stage.

However, in view of the facts and circumstances of the case and the observations made by Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Doongar Singh Vs. State of Rajasthan 2018 (1) RCR Criminal 256*, *State of U.P. Vs. Shambhu Nath Singh and others, 2001 (2) R.C.R. (Criminal) 390*, *Hussain and another Vs. Union of India 2017(2) RCR Criminal 312* and *Thana Singh Vs. Central Bureau of Narcotics 2013(1) R.C.R(Criminal) 861*, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial expeditiously preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order by conducting trial on day to day basis as far as possible and by allocating block of dates for the trial as directed by Hon'ble Supreme Court and by issuing coercive process for securing presence of the witnesses, if so required.

In case of non-appearance of any of the witnesses, the trial Court shall take appropriate action against the concerned witness absenting without any lawful excuse by filing complaint under Section 174 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 or taking proceedings under Section 350 of the Cr.P.C. against him.

A copy of this order be sent to the trial Court concerned for requisite compliance.

17.02.2021  
Kothiyal

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No