

244

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-43411-2021
Date of decision: 20.12.2021

JITENDER SINGH

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Aakash Juneja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vikram Rathore, Advocate
for respondent Nos.7 to 9.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (Oral)

It has been stated by the learned counsel for respondent Nos.7 to 9 that they are not threatening the petitioner and they undertake not to cause any threat to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for respondent Nos.7 to 9 further submits that civil dispute is going on between the parties and even the reply is filed by the State, which points out towards the same.

Learned counsel for respondent Nos.7 to 9 further submits that he has instructions to submit that petitioner need not have any threat from them.

With given such assurance, nothing survives in the present petition and is disposed of.

However, it is clarified that in case of any threat perceptions, liberty is granted to the petitioner to contact the concerned Station House

Officer, who shall provide him protection subject to day to day analysis and that protection shall only be confined within the boundaries of the residential property of the petitioner. In case the petitioner leaves his residential property except for household necessities and medical emergencies, such protection shall automatically be withdrawn.

With the aforesaid liberty, the present petition is disposed of.

Interim order, if any, is hereby recalled.

20.12.2021
Chetan Thakur

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No