

**214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39204-2020(O&M)

Date of Decision: 08.04.2021

(Heard through V.C.)

Sumit

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Aakash Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate
for the complainant.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.192 dated 27.05.2020 under Sections 186, 201, 307, 353, 364-A, 379-B, 506 and 120-B of the IPC and Sections 25 & 27 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Asauda, District Jhajjar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner herein would urge that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and therefore, there is no basis to implicate him in the said matter.

However, both learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State and the complainant would submit that an initial FIR was registered by one Manoj, stating that he had an apprehension that his

employer had been kidnapped and that there was a demand of Rs.50 lakh. It is submitted that in fact the petitioner herein though not named in the FIR, was apprehending from the spot where the money was to be transferred and, therefore, his very presence would establish his role in the said kidnapping. It is also submitted that in the firing incident that took place, the petitioner herein was injured, which again would establish the fact that he was very much a party to the kidnapping incident.

I have heard counsel for the parties and have also perused case file.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, including the stage of the trial, since the charges have not yet been framed, no ground is made out for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, at this stage.

Petition stands dismissed.

08.04.2021
ps-I

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No