

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
(Through video conferencing)**

227

CRM-M-43315-2021
Date of Decision: 15.11.2021

BALVIR SINGH AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Arora, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Amit Goyal, Advocate for complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, in case FIR No.316, dated 25.08.2021, under Sections 498-A, 406, 323 and 34 of IPC, registered at Police Station Mandi Dabwali, District Sirsa.

The coordinate Bench of this Court passed the interim order dated 18th October, 2021 in the following terms:

“Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners are father-in-law and mother-in-law of the complainant and have been roped in and falsely implicated without any reason. Counsel also contends that the petitioners are ready and willing to join the investigation and get the dowry articles, as mentioned in the FIR, recovered.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State of Haryana.

Let the petitioners join investigation and appear

CRM-M-43315-2021 :2:

before the Investigating Officer on 25.10.2021 at 11:00 AM at Police Station City Mandi Dabwali, District Sirsa. On their doing so, petitioners be released on anticipatory bail subject to their furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, petitioners shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

List for consideration on 15.11.2021. ”

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that pursuant to the aforesaid order dated 18.10.2021, the petitioners have joined investigation.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Sumit Kumar, does not dispute the factum of the petitioners having joined investigation. She further submits that she has instructions to state that the custodial interrogation of the petitioners are not required in the case in hand.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 18.10.2021, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

**(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE**

15.11.2021
rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No