

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39252-2020
Date of decision: 23.02.2021

Lakhvir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Kuldeep Singh Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in case/DDR No. 35 dated 29.09.2020, under Sections 323, 324, 148, 149 of the IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) registered in FIR No. 167 dated 29.09.2020, under Sections 323, 324, 34 of the IPC at Police Station Sadar Rupnagar.

The operative part of the order dated 07.01.2021, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is reproduced below:

“Learned counsel for petitioner inter alia contends that petitioner has no nexus whatsoever with the alleged offence. He further submits that petitioner is ready to join investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency.

Learned State counsel on instructions from Sub Inspector Harvinder Kaur submits that danda blow on the back of injured Harswaraj has been attributed to the petitioner.

Adjourned to 04.02.2021.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, in pursuance to the order dated 07.01.2021, has already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Harwinder Kaur, has not disputed the factual position and submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 07.01.2021, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

23.02.2021

Waseem Ansari

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No