

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38758-2020 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.03.2021

Nishan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Dinarpur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, DAG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks cancellation of anticipatory bail granted by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ambala, vide orders dated 19/25.08.2020 (Annexure P-2), to respondents No.2 and 3 in FIR No.109 dated 07.08.2020 under sections 420, 406, 370, 506 and 120-B IPC and Section 24 of the Immigration Act, 1983, registered at Police Station Naggal, District Ambala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that respondents No. 2 and 3 are the habitual offenders and have cheated a number of persons in the area and respondent No. 2 and his family members are the hard-core criminals.

Copy of reply by way of affidavit dated 20.02.2021 of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Ambala, already filed in the Registry, is taken on record.

I have gone through the aforesaid reply.

As per the reply, during the inquiry on a complaint dated 6.10.2020 given by the complainant to the SHO, P.S. Nangal, Ambala, the complainant made a statement on 08.12.2020 that no such incident took place and he had filed the complaint at the instance of some person and that he did not want to pursue the said complaint.

The learned State counsel points out that the complaint is of 06.10.2020, whereas the statement of the complainant was recorded on 08.12.2020. He, thus, contends that having given the aforesaid statement, the complainant cannot turn around to seek cancellation of the bail granted to respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

08.03.2021

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No