

115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9785-2021

Date of decision : 13.10.2021

Amarjeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL**

Present: Ms. Bhupinder K. Bhangu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

(Through Video Conferencing)

\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)**

Prayer in the present Criminal Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members from the private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is 62 year old man and he has moved a detailed representation dated 01.10.2021 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, District Yamuna Nagar, in which, he has detailed the fact that as per the private respondents, the daughter of respondent No.4 has run

away with the son of the petitioner, thus, the private respondents are threatening the petitioner and his family members and also repeatedly coming to the house of the petitioner on account of which, there is an apparent threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner would be satisfied if respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, is directed to look into representation dated 01.10.2021 (Annexure P-3) and after considering the threat perceptions, takes appropriate action in accordance with law.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3 only.

On advance notice, Mr. Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana, appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and on a specific query put by this Court, he has stated that he has no objection in case, respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, looks into the representation dated 01.10.2021 (Annexure P-3) with a limited prayer for only protection of life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members and takes appropriate action in accordance with law.

After considering the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court deems it appropriate to direct respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, to look into the representation dated 01.10.2021 (Annexure P-3) with a limited prayer for only protection of life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members and after making necessary enquiries and assessing the threat perception to the petitioner and his family members, respondent No.2 shall take appropriate action in

accordance with law.

It is, however, clarified that this Court has not gone into the merits of the allegations made by the petitioner and it would be open to respondent No.2 to make his independent enquiry/assessment with regard to the representation with a limited prayer aforesaid.

In view of what has been observed above, the present Criminal Writ petition is disposed of in the abovesaid terms.

It is, however, clarified that this order shall not debar the State from proceeding against the petitioner, if involved in any case.

**13.10.2021**

*Pawan*

**(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned:-      Yes/No**

**Whether reportable:-                Yes/No**