

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-20942-2021

Date of Decision: 12.10.2021

Ramphal Singh and others

....Petitioners

VS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Post partition of the land in dispute, sanad was issued on 21.01.2020. Thereafter, part of the land was acquired vide notification dated 19.02.2020. Consequently, revision petition was filed before the Financial Commissioner on 16.03.2020 for setting aside the same as the sanad had been rendered inexecutable on account of acquisition. The said revision petition was withdrawn as the Financial Commissioner had no jurisdiction to hear the same in view of amendment of the Punjab Land Revenue Act, 1887 (as applicable to Haryana) in the year 2017. Although learned counsel for the petitioners has tried to argue that the writ petition is the only remedy available, I am not convinced.

Thus, learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw the present petition with liberty to approach the concerned Commissioner.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforementioned liberty. The possession be not disturbed for a period of 15 days from today.

12.10.2021

reena

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE