

CRM-M-43791-2021

Date of Decision: 16.11.2021

Vinod Sehwaḡ

.....Petitioner

Vs.

M/s Shree Naina Plastics Inc Katha Baddi Partnership Firm

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Vipul Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Suman Jain, Advocate, and
Mr. Rishab Jain, Advocate, for the respondent.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case heard via video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, submits that it has been inadvertently stated in paragraph 32 of the petition that the revision filed by the petitioner against the order of the trial court summoning him has been withdrawn, whereas actually no application for withdrawal has been filed (with him further submitting that it was due to inadvertence on his part).

Upon query, he submits that the said revision is therefore still pending before the competent court.

That being so, he submits that he does not press this petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

It is to be noticed that learned counsel for the respondent has filed a reply to the petition, which is taken on record. only for the purpose of record.

November 16, 2021

nitin

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)

JUDGE

Yes/No
Yes/No.