

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.38816 of 2020 (O&M)

Date of Decision:02.08.2021

(Heard through VC)

Sumit @ Meeta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Vikas Gulia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Sihag, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Amit Jhanji, Senior Advocate with
Mr. H.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition that has been filed for cancellation of regular bail granted to respondents No.2 and 3 by the Additional Sessions Judge, Sonapat vide order dated 09.09.2020.

Learned senior counsel appearing for the private respondents No.2 & 3 would submit that allegations as set out in the petition are non-specific as to how the private respondents have abused the concession of bail. It is argued that in fact the statement of the petitioner already stands recorded and therefore, the question of them threatening the petitioner herein would not arise.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State would also submit that in fact no complaint has been received in the police station regarding violation of the order allowing bail to the private

respondents.

Keeping in view the statements made and the fact that there is no specific incident shown to the court as to how bail bonds have been violated by the private respondents, no ground is made out to interfere in the orders passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Sonipat.

Consequently, the instant petition stands dismissed.

August 02, 2021
Pankaj*

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No