

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.45087 of 2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-14.12.2021.**

Braham Parkash

.....Petitioner.

Versus

Superintendent of Police, Narnaul and others

.....Respondents.

(Heard through Video-Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Gurcharan Singh Gandhi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

CRM No.42718 of 2021

This application has been moved on behalf of the applicant-petitioner with a prayer to implead the State of Haryana as respondent No.5 in the main petition bearing **CRM-M No.45087 of 2021**.

Notice in the application.

Mr. Apoorv Garg, learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, who has joined the proceedings in this case in pursuance of the copy of the instant application having been sent to the proposed respondent No.5-State of Haryana in advance, accepts the notice and submits that he has no objection in allowing this application.

Keeping in view the above-said fact as well as the reasons as mentioned in this application, the same is allowed and the State of Haryana is allowed to be impleaded as respondent No.5 in the above-said main

petition.

Amended Memo of Parties is already available on the file and the same is taken on the record.

CRM-M No.45087 of 2021

By way of this petition, the petitioner seeks the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of a direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to take prompt action on the FIR bearing No.53 dated 11.02.2020 registered at Police Station Sadar Narnaul, District Mahendergarh, under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC and to arrest respondent No.4 and also to recover the amount paid by him (petitioner) to the said respondent.

At this stage, learned State counsel, on the instructions from Sub-Inspector Sarika from the above-said Police Station, apprises the Court that the cancellation report qua the FIR in question has already been prepared and the same would be presented before the competent Court very shortly.

In view of the above-discussed submission as made by learned State Counsel, it becomes quite explicit that the cause of action does not survive any more in favour of the petitioner to pursue the instant petition further. Resultantly, the same stands dismissed accordingly.

December 14, 2021
Yag Dutt

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>