

121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

**CM-16433-CWP-2021 and
CWP-21084-2021
Date of Decision : 10.11.2021**

Sadiq Mohd. & Ors. ...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and Ors.Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

Case is being taken up for hearing through Video
Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

CM-16433-CWP-2021

For the reasons as are mentioned in the application, the same
is allowed.

Registry is directed to correct the name of the petitioner in
the memo of parties, as per details given in para No.4 of the application.

CWP-21084-2021

[1] Prayer in the petition under Articles 226/227 of the
Constitution of India, is for the issuance of a writ, order or direction
especially in the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondents to
step up the pay of the petitioners at par with respondent No.7 i.e. junior
of the petitioners, especially in view of letter, Annexure P/7 dated
22.08.2019 as well as speaking order, Annexure P/10 dated 17.09.2020
passed by the department in pursuance to order, Annexure P/9 dated
19.06.2020 in CWP No.8290 of 2020 in case titled as 'Sukhwinder Singh
and others versus State of Punjab and others' whereby other similarly
situated persons as the petitioners, were granted the aforesaid

benefit etc.

[2] At the outset, learned counsel contends that the petitioners have served legal notice, Annexure P/8 dated 09.07.2021 in respect of their grievances which are the subject matter of the writ petition, but no decision has been taken on the same till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioners would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim made in the legal notice, Annexure P/8 dated 09.07.2021 in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

[3] Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only.

[4] Ms. Anju Arora, learned Addl. AG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and after perusal of the writ petition states that she has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

[5] Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties but without commenting upon the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim made in the legal notice, Annexure P/8 dated 09.07.2021 in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[6] Petition disposed of with the aforementioned directions.

**(B.S. Walia)
Judge**

10.11.2021
'Amit'