

CWP-20982-2021

Date of Decision : 16.11.2021

Vikas

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Harish Mehla, Advocate for
Mr. Arjun Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of Mandamus directing respondent No.2 to reinstate the petitioner as Home Guard w.e.f. 31.03.2017 as also to release the pending wages of the petitioner from 31.03.2017 till date. Learned Counsel contends that the petitioner has filed representation Annexure P/4 dated 28.07.2021 in respect of the grievances which are the subject matter of this writ petition but the same has not been decided till date and that in the circumstances the petitioner would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No. 2 to decide the same in a time bound manner.

2. Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only.

3. Mr. Tapan Kumar, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and on perusal of the writ petition states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the writ petition is *disposed of* by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim made in representation Annexure P/4 dated 28.07.2021, in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter including delay if any in invoking the remedy seeking redress of his grievances, within 06 weeks of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

16.11.2021
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No