

CWP-20923-2021

Date of decision: 01.11.2021

Sukhraj Singh and Ors.

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and Ors.

..... Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. J.S. Mohri, Advocate for the petitioners.

B.S.Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ of mandamus for directing the respondents to count the service rendered by the petitioners as Special Police Officers prior to their regularization, as qualifying service for the purpose of calculation of pensionary/retiral benefits in terms of the decision of this Court, Annexures P/7 and P/8.

[2] Learned counsel contends that the petitioners have served legal notice, Annexure P/11 dated 08.07.2021 in respect of their grievances which are the subject matter of the writ petition, but no decision has been taken on the same till date, despite the fact that in the case of other similarly situated persons, benefit has been released to them vide orders, Annexures P/9 and P/10. Learned counsel states that in the circumstances, the petitioners would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim made in the legal notice, Annexure P/11 dated 08.07.2021 in a time bound manner.

[3] Notice of motion to respondent No.2 only.

[4] Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, learned DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2 and after perusal of the writ petition states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

[5] Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties but without commenting upon the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide the claim made in the legal notice, Annexure P/11 dated 08.07.2021 in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[6] Writ petition disposed of with the aforementioned directions.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

01.11.2021
'Amit'

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.