

CRM-M No.42886 of 2021

Date of Decision : 11.10.2021

Pushpinder Singh alias Pushpinderjit Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of PunjabRespondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Ms. G.K. Mann, Senior Advocate *assisted by*
Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] Prayer in the petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0099 dated 07.07.2020, registered under Sections 307/427/341/506/148/149/120-B IPC, 1860 and Sections 25-27-54 & 59 Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Harike, District Tarn Taran.

[3] Learned Senior Counsel assisted by Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate contends that it is a case of no injury and out of 04 accused named in the FIR, 02 were allowed anticipatory bail by the learned Sessions Court, while 01 has been declared innocent, that the complainant is a *Sarpanch*, is very influential in government circles and the only allegation against the petitioner is of his having fired a shot but no injury was caused to any person, besides no empty shell was recovered, therefore, it is clear that the petitioner is sought to be implicated in a false case.

[5] Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, learned DAG, Punjab, accepts notice and on instructions from *SI Hardayal Singh* states that pursuant to investigation, Section 307 IPC and Sections 25 & 27 Arms Act have been deleted from the FIR.

[6] In the light of statement of learned State Counsel, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Mr. Sunil Kumar, Advocate states that she does not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same, as the remaining offences are bailable.

[7] In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *dismissed as withdrawn*.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

11.10.2021

'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No