

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-9679-2021

Date of decision : 12.10.2021

Sanjo Rani

... Petitioner

Versus

State

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been registered as Criminal Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India (habeas corpus) on the affidavit of Sanjo Rani wife of Sunil Kumar, who has alleged that on 28.09.2021 at about 4:30-5:00 pm, Sukhwinder Singh along with two other officials of Police Station Chappar, District Yamuna Nagar, entered into their house without any prior intimation and notice, and conducted the search of their house without any lady police official and arrested the husband of the petitioner as well as took her son-in-law (alleged detenues), in a forcible manner and have detained them without there being any complaint or FIR registered against the said two persons.

Notice of motion.

Mr.Praveen Bhadu, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State and submits, on instructions from HC Sukhwinder, that in the present case, the husband of the petitioner as well as her son-in-law were working as attendants (waiters) in function being

hosted by one Neeraj. It had transpired that at the time of function, husband of the petitioner and her son-in-law had picked up a gold chain of said Neeraj regarding which said Neeraj had filed complaint no.855 dated 27.08.2021. On the basis of the said complaint, certain enquiries were made by the police officials from the said husband as well as son-in-law of the petitioner. After the enquiries having been made, a compromise was effected between said Neeraj-complainant and the husband and son-in-law of the petitioner. It is submitted that matter has already been resolved and in fact the husband and son-in-law of the petitioner have been moved out freely since 28.09.2021 and they are not being held in illegal custody. It is further submitted by the learned State counsel that the petitioner has in fact given a statement to the effect that police officials have never taken her husband and son-in-law into illegal custody.

Keeping in view the abovesaid facts and circumstances, no further order is called for in the present petition and the same is disposed of.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

October 12, 2021

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No