

201.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-9602-2020

Date of decision:07.01.2021.

SONIYA RANI AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Prayer in this writ petition filed Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners and also for issuance of direction to respondents No.4 to 8 not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners.

On 19.11.2020, this Court has passed the following order:-

“The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners for directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners, who have entered into matrimony against the wishes of their parents.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

At this stage, Mr. Sandeep Siwach, Advocate has put in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of private respondents No.4 to 8. He submits that respondents No.4 to 8 are ready to accept this marriage and they would like to organize some social function and the parties should sit together at some common place to work out modality.

This offer is acceptable to the counsel for the petitioners.

Accordingly, the parties may appear before the respondent No.3-SHO Police Station City Narwana, District Jind on the date and time informed by him. During this process, the parties shall not create a scene and the respondent No.3-SHO shall report about the said meeting on the next date of hearing.

List on 07.01.2021.

In the meantime, the respondent No.3-SHO shall ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 8.”

Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the matter was enquired into by the Police Station City Narwana and panchayat of both the parties and other respectable persons was convened where both the parties agreed to accept the marriage of the petitioners and further to organize a social function so as to give a social status to the marriage.

As per the affidavit filed by the SHO Police Station City Narwana, the petitioners were contacted on telephone where they declined to solemnize marriage again because they have already performed marriage with each other. As regard the protection to their life and liberty, the affidavit suggests that the petitioners are living at Chandigarh and therefore,

there is no apprehension of danger to their life and liberty. However, as and

when they would visit the territorial jurisdiction of District Jind and ask for protection to their life and liberty, the same shall be taken care accordingly.

No one is present on behalf of the petitioners so as to controvert the contents of the affidavit.

In view of the affidavit so furnished by the SHO, Police Station Narwana, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition and the same is disposed of accordingly.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

07.01.2021
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No