

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.42837 of 2021

Date of Decision : October 11, 2021

Gaurav and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. S.S. Dinarpur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Lalit Rishi, Advpcate
for the complainant.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that according to the petitioners, section 307 of the IPC is not made out, keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case though investigation into the allegation is being undertaken by the investigating agency, hence, the petitioners be allowed to withdraw this petition with liberty to file a fresh one on the same cause of action, after investigation in the FIR is complete, if permissible.

Ordered accordingly.

October 11, 2021
rittu

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No