

117

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP No. 9710 of 2021
Date of Decision: 12.10.2021

Manisha and another

-Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

-Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. S.K. Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Tanvir S. Grewal, Advocate,
for respondents No.5 to 10.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that this is the first marriage between the petitioners.

Petitioners have prayed for issuance of necessary directions to the official respondents for protecting their civil/personal rights and liberties from being invaded by the private respondents. Petitioners are alleged to have solemnized marriage on 05.10.2021 on attaining the age of majority. They feel reasonable apprehension and threat perception at the hands of the private respondents and pray that their personal/civil rights be not violated by them.

Precisely, in the context of aforesaid relief, petitioners

have approached the Superintendent of Police, Kurukshetra, District Kurukshetra and Station House Officer, Police Station Pehowa, District Kurukshetra/respondents No.2 and 3 by way of representation dated 06.10.2021 (through courier).

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 4.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Anant Kataria, D.A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State-respondents No.1 to 4.

At this stage, without meaning anything in the context of validity of marriage and majority of the petitioners, it would be just and appropriate to direct respondent No.3 to look into the grievance of the petitioners and pass an appropriate order on merits in accordance with law. Respondent No.3 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any pleadings made in the petition.

This order shall not debar the official respondents from proceeding against any of the parties, if they are involved in any other case. It would be appreciated if the needful is done within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

At this stage, learned counsel for respondents No.5 to 10 submits that the respondents do not wish to cause any harm to the petitioners. Their only anxiety is to know about well

being of petitioner No.1. Even they want to meet petitioner No.1 in the offices of respondents No.3 or 4.

In view of nature of prayer made, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.4 to allow respondents No.5 to 10 to meet the petitioner No.1 in the Police Station in a congenial atmosphere. Respondent No.3 is directed to ensure that no physical harm is caused to the petitioners. Respondent No.3 shall take all precautions to ensure that the petitioners may reach to their destination thereafter in a safe passage. Respondents No.3 and 4 would be at liberty to fix an appropriate date for meeting of the parties.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

12.10.2021

Jyoti Sharma

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No