

209.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42684-2021

Date of Decision: 14.10.2021

AMIT

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Ajay Ghangas, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Sihag, AAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, pending trial, in case FIR No.237, dated 14.07.2021, registered under Sections 354-A, 354-D, 323, 506 of IPC and Sections 8 & 12 of the POCSO Act at Police Station Civil Lines, Sonapat.

Counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case only on account of the fact that an amount of Rs.20,000/- had been given as loan to the husband of the complainant and on demand, the instant FIR came to be registered. It is also contended that there is enough CCTV footage which would show an altercation had taken place between the parties. It is also argued that the only allegation, as set out in the FIR, is that the petitioner herein had held the hand of the minor girl.

Learned State counsel states that he has no instructions regarding the CCTV footage but is not in a position to dispute the fact that the matter has investigated and the challan presented.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and in view of the fact that the investigation is complete, custody of the petitioner would no longer be required, deem it appropriate to release the petitioner on regular bail.

Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, the petitioner is restrained from communicating either with the complainant or the minor girl. In case, there is any such communication, the bail shall stand cancelled.

Any observation made hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on merits of the case.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

14.10.2021
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No