

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-21116-2021(O&M)

Date of decision:-24.11.2021

Anil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr.P.S. Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Shubhra Singh, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

Mr.B.R. Mahajan, Sr.Advocate with
Ms.Nikita Goel, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

H.S. MADAAN, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out that before filing of the writ petition, the petitioner had submitted a representation to respondent No.2, copy of which being Annexure P7 dated 25.6.2021 but that has not evoked any response. As such, the petitioner has to knock at the door of this Court by way of filing the present writ petition.

Learned State counsel submits that the respondents would consider the representation and passed a detailed order.

Counsel for the petitioner further states that since all the

factual position could not be given in the representation Annexure P7, the present writ petition along with annexures be treated as representation.

The present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Haryana Staff Selection Commission to look into the grievances of the petitioner as contained in representation Annexure P7 and also treated this writ petition along with annexures as representation and to take necessary action in the matter. A speaking order in that regard be passed by respondent No.2 within a period of one month from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

In case the petitioner still feels dissatisfied after disposal of the representation by respondents, then he may take recourse to the legal remedy again in accordance with law.

24.11.2021
Brij

(H.S. MADAN)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No