

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(218)

CRM No. M-33030 of 2019
Date of Decision : 01.10.2021

Jaswinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 0143, dated 09.07.2019, registered under Section 61 of the Punjab Excise Act, 1914 at Police Station Nathana, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has joined investigation in terms of order passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court dated 09.09.2019. Order dated 09.09.2019 is as under:-

“Notice of motion for 24.10.2019.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and shall abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, who has also joined the proceedings through video conference, on instructions from ASI Amrik Singh states that

in terms of the order of this Court reproduced before, the petitioner has joined the investigation and the challan has been submitted and no further interrogation of the petitioner is required.

In view of the above, the order dated 09.09.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of.

October 01, 2021
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes
Whether reportable? No