

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.121

CWP No.20716 of 2021

DATE OF DECISION: October 11, 2021

Hardiyal Chand

..Petitioner

Versus

Superintending Canal Officer, Ferozepur Canal Circle, Ferozepur and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Judgepreet Singh Warring, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate, for respondents No.4 & 5.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is aggrieved because his appeal has been rejected by the Superintending Canal Officer.

The parties are real brothers and cultivating their land jointly. Since the tuk was at a distance from the Nakka, 28 minutes bharai was being given. The parties have partitioned their joint holding and thereafter respondent No.5 filed an application for separate turn of water. The application was dismissed but appeal filed by the said respondent has been allowed. Subsequent appeal filed by the petitioner has been dismissed.

With the assistance of learned counsel for the parties, I have gone through impugned order dated 16.08.2021 passed by the Superintending Canal Officer. Having perused the same, I do not find any error or infirmity therein.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

October 11, 2021

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No