

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9642-2021

Date of Decision : 11.10.2021

RUKSANA AND ANOTHER

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr.Karunesh Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioners

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

[1] Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.

[2] Prayer in the petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents Nos.2 and 3 not to harass the petitioners at the instance of respondents No.4 to 10 as also to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

[3] Learned counsel contends that petitioner No.1 is a Muslim, while petitioner No.2 is a Hindu and on account of mutual liking for each other, both are living together, though against the wishes of the non-official respondents.

[4] Learned counsel contends that representation, Annexure P-3, dated 05.10.2021 was submitted by the petitioners to respondent No.2 i.e. Superintendent of Police, Sirsa but no decision has been taken on the same till date and that in the circumstances, the petitioners would be satisfied if the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to

consider and decide representation Annexure P-3, dated 05.10.2021 in accordance with law after taking into account all aspects of the matter in a time bound manner.

[5] Notice of motion.

[6] Mr. Gurbir Dhillon, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and states that he has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

[7] Accordingly, in the light of statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.2 to consider and decide representation Annexure P-3 dated 05.10.2021 in accordance with law after taking into account in all aspects of the matter as expeditiously as possible and further take action in the facts and circumstances of the case, preferably within a period of one week from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

11.10.2021

neenu

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No