

Ranjeet Singh

....Petitioner

VS

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Brijender Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Vide order dated 04.10.2012, Parveen Kumar (respondent No. 6) was appointed as Lambardar. This order was challenged by the petitioner by way of appeal. The appeal succeeded and the matter was remanded for a fresh decision by order dated 20.05.2014 passed by the Commissioner, Ambala Division. This order has been set aside by the Financial Commissioner vide his order dated 19.02.2020. Thus, the appointment of respondent No. 6 has been upheld.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the Commissioner was justified in remanding the case as the Collector had not considered the respective merits of the parties objectively. Accordingly, the order of the Financial Commissioner deserves to be set aside.

A perusal of the order of the Financial Commissioner shows that he has set aside the order of the Commissioner on the ground that there was no perversity in the order of the Collector. Comparative merits of the parties had been examined by him.

Having gone through the record and having perused the order of the Collector I agree with the findings of the learned Financial Commissioner.

In view of the above, the writ petition has no merit and is dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

02.12.2021

reena