

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-37888-2020

DATE OF DECISION: 15.01.2021

SHUBHAM

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Gurvinder Singh Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupinder Singh, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 138 dated 02.09.2020, under Sections 186, 323, 353 and 332 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Kalka, District Panchkula.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR wherein it is alleged that the officials of the Irrigation Department, who were constructing the drain, had been assaulted. He further contends that seven co-accused, who are alleged to have caused injuries to the officials, have been granted regular bail. He also contends that it is alleged that the petitioner was instigating others.

This Court by the order dated 10.12.2020 had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from SI Nawab Khan, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 10.12.2020 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

15.01.2021

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No