

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 202

CRM-M-42369-2021

Date of decision : 21.12.2021

Sandeep Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.A.P.Kaushal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.A.S.Gill, Sr.DAG., Punjab.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.132 dated 08.09.2021 registered under Sections 420, 406 and 34 IPC at Police Station Khuiya Sarwar, District Fazilka.

On 08.10.2021 this Court had passed the following order: -

“The matter has been taken up through video conferencing. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to a money dispute between the complainant and the petitioner's paternal uncle; the petitioner's paternal uncle, who was known to the complainant, had given the complainant two cheques, which on presentation were dishonoured leading to the lodging of the present FIR; the petitioner and his paternal uncle have been made accused in the present FIR only to arm-twist them; there is no evidence of receipt of any money by the petitioner; the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case and that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

Mr.H.S.Multani, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent and prays for time to argue the matter.

Adjourned to 21.12.2021.

In the meanwhile, subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.132 dated 08.09.2021, registered under Sections 420, 406 and 34 IPC, at Police Station Khuiya Sarwar, District Fazilka, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.”

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance to the afore quoted order the petitioner has joined investigation; has cooperated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

In view of the above and the statement made by learned State counsel that the petitioner's custodial interrogation is not required, the order of this Court dated 08.10.2021, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

21.12.2021
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No