

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

202

**CRM-M-37837-2020 (O & M)
Date of Decision: April 23, 2021**

**SHANKAR(SHANKAR @ BALJIT AS
PAR POLICE REPLY)**

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

A Coordinate Bench of this Court had passed the following order
on 18.01.2021:-

“The matter has been taken up for hearing through
video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the recovered
quantity is small and the petitioner has been named on the
disclosure statement of the co-accused. In the first disclosure
statement, the co-accused Rakesh Kumar has named Sunil,
whereas in the second disclosure statement, he suffered the
name of the petitioner. There is no other case against the
petitioner.

Adjourned to 31.03.2021.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when directed by the investigating agency and shall abide by the terms and conditions laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Rishal Singh, states that the petitioner has joined investigation.

In view of the above and the petitioner having joined investigation, the order dated 18.01.2021 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

April 23, 2021
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No