

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.210

CRM-M-42592-2021

Date of Decision: 01.11.2021

AJAY SINGH

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Kuldip Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. K. S. Aulakh, DAG, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

Learned proxy counsel for the petitioner submits that inadvertently
Section 411 of the IPC which was added lateron in the FIR, could not be
mentioned in the petition and therefore, the petitioner be allowed to withdraw
this petition with liberty to file a fresh one with correct particulars.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

01.11.2021

rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No