

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-37557-2020**

**Date of decision:06.04.2021**

Jobanpreet Singh alias Lovepreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL**

Present:- Mr. Karanjeet Singh Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Avtar Singh Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)**

Case is taken up for hearing through video conferencing.

Custody certificate by way of an affidavit dated 05.04.2021 of

Deputy Superintendent, Central Jail, Bathinda, filed through e-mail, is taken on record.

Through this petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.29 dated 22.03.2020 registered under Sections 489-A, 489-B, 489-C, 489-D, 489-E, 420 IPC and Section 22 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, at Police Station Nandgarh, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case. He was indicted at a later stage on the disclosure statement of co-accused Varinder Singh, from whom the alleged recovery of fake currency notes of Rs.2,70,000/- was effected. Co-accused Varinder Singh and Lakhvir Singh, have already been granted regular bail by the Coordinate Bench of this Court. The case of the petitioner being

similar, he is also entitled to be enlarged on bail. It is further stated that the

petitioner has been in custody since 02.04.2020.

Learned State counsel, while opposing the grant of regular bail, states that co-accused Rajdeep Singh, was found in possession of 40 intoxicant tablets of Alprsafe as well as counterfeit currency notes of Rs.11,700/-, which is against the interest of the country. Co-accused Varinder Singh, from whom the alleged recovery of fake currency notes of Rs.2,70,000/- was effected, had named the petitioner in his disclosure statement. It is further stated that the recovery of fake currency notes of Rs.3,30,000/-, a printer and other articles used for preparing the fake currency, was effected from the petitioner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

As noticed above, the alleged recovery of fake currency notes of Rs.3,30,000/-, a printer and other articles used for preparing the fake currency, was effected from the petitioner, which clearly shows that the petitioner is a person of criminal antecedents.

No ground is made to grant the regular bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

**06.04.2021**

ishwar

**(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)**

**JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No