

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-20462 of 2021 (O&M)

Date of decision: 06.10.2021

Vinod Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, Hisar and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. D.S. Punia, Advocate for the petitioners.

H.S. MADAAN, J. (Oral)

Petitioner Vinod Kumar and 45 others working in various offices of respondents on different posts, have brought the instant writ petition against respondents i.e. Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, Hisar and 05 others, craving for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the arrears of salary from the period June 2012 to May 2016 of Assistant Lineman and Shift Attendants and that of Data Entry Operator from September 2015 to October 2018.

According to the petitioners, they had requested the respondents several times to do the needful but to no effect. As such they have approached this Court by way of filing the instant writ petition.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners stated that petitioners would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.1-Managing Director, Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, Hisar to consider the claim of the petitioners in a time bound manner and then to

take necessary action. He has pointed out that such type of order has been passed by this Court in CWP-16717-2020 decided on 27.08.2021, copy of which has been placed on record as Annexure P-8.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, the request made by learned counsel for the petitioners, in order to enable respondent No.1 to consider the claim of the petitioners and to avoid unnecessary litigation, the present writ petition is disposed of directing respondent No.1-Managing Director, Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, Hisar to consider the claim of the petitioners as per law, rules, regulations and instructions on the subject and if some action in the matter is warranted, then the needful be done in accordance with law, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of copy of this order, by way of passing a speaking passing order, copy of which be sent to the petitioners as per registered AD post. The present writ petition along with annexures be taken as representation by the petitioners for the purpose of consideration.

It is observed that in case the petitioners still feel dissatisfied after disposal of their claim, then they may take recourse to the legal remedy in accordance with law.

06.10.2021

sumit.k

**(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No