

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

204

CWP No.19398 of 2020

Decided on : 18.02.2021

Jogi Ram

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Rajiv Joshi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Simran Grewal, AAG, Punjab.

(The proceedings are being conducted through
video conferencing, as per instructions.)

G.S. Sandhawal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner in the present writ petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeks a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to take strict action against the private respondent No.7 who is absent from the duty from the last many years and yet had not been removed from the service.

As per the reply filed, the respondent No.7 has been removed from service, vide order dated 16.12.2020 (Annexure R-1) The respondent No.3 has come to the finding that she has gone abroad without any approval and is not interested in the Government job.

Counsel for the petitioner has, thus, submitted that he is satisfied with the said proceedings. It is, however, submitted that the said respondent has also taken monetary benefits, during the period of absence.

The said fact as such will also be examined by respondent No.3 and necessary action will be taken, accordingly, if that is so. The writ petition stands disposed off with the abovesaid observations.

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE**

FEBRUARY 18, 2021

Naveen

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No