

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-20418-2021**

**Date of Decision: November 09, 2021**

Sandeep

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA**

**Present:** Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional AG Haryana.

\*\*\*\*\*

**ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)**

Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondents to take appropriate action on the revised transfer options given by petitioner and taking final decision on the same.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner along with other Assistant District Attorneys (ADAs) was transferred from the office of the District Attorney, Rewari to the office of the District Development and Panchayat Office, Sonapat vide order dated 11.02.2021. Learned counsel further submits that similarly situated ADAs had approached this Court by way of CWP-4415-2021, whereby, vide order dated 24.02.201, a direction was issued to consider and decide the respective representations of the petitioners therein. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has also moved a representation dated 02.09.2021 (Annexure P-11) for considering and taking final decision on the revised options submitted by him.

3. On advance service, learned State counsel appears and opposes the issuance of notice of motion.

4. On a Court query, learned counsel for the petitioner concedes that after exercising the options by the various Assistant District Attorneys including the petitioner, the same are pending with the administrative authority and no decision has been taken on the same despite representation having been filed by the petitioner.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the case file.

6. Transfer being matter of administrative exigency, this Court generally refrains to interfere and treads cautiously, unless it is a case of extreme hardship. The case in hand does not seem to be such so as to deserve any indulgence. Moreover, to transfer an official or not to, is sole discretion of the employer based on the administrative exigencies. Not doing or doing so is not a punishment, but an integral part of service conditions.

7. In the premise, I do not find any ground to interfere on that ground alone.

8. Petition is however disposed of with the expectation that the competent administrative authority shall take final decision on the pending representation in due course of time, in accordance with law.

**(ARUN MONGA)**  
**JUDGE**

**November 09, 2021**  
mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No