

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2021-10-06 19:10
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRWP-9516-2021

Date of decision : 6.10.2021

Neena and another

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Amit Puri, Advocate
for petitioner (s).

Mr. Sarabjit Singh Cheema, AAG Punjab.

(Through Video Conferencing)

-.-

-.-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

This petition has been filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India seeking direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to provide protection to the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 12.

It has been averred that the date of birth of petitioner No. 1 is 16.5.1994 while date of birth of petitioner No. 2 is 10.1.1992. Copies of Aadhar Cards showing date of birth of petitioner No. 1 and petitioner No. 2 are attached as Annexure P-1 to Annexure P-2 respectively. It is stated that petitioner No. 1 is widow. After death of her husband, she came in contact with petitioner No. 2. Petitioner No. 1 has two children.

Learned counsel for petitioner states that the children of petitioner No. 1 are residing with parents of petitioner No. 1.

It has been submitted that the marriage of the petitioners was solemnized on 1.10.2021 in a Gurudwara. The marriage certificate is attached as Annexure P-4. Private respondents are opposing to the marriage as the petitioners had performed the marriage without their consent. The petitioners have submitted representation dated 1.10.2021 (Annexure P-5) to respondent No. 2 seeking protection of their lives and liberty at the hands of the private respondents.

After hearing counsel for the petitioners and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the validity of the marriage and the age of the petitioners and keeping in view the binding precedent of the Hon'ble Apex Court

CRWP-9516-2021

-2-

in Lata Singh Versus State of U.P. and another, 2006(2) SCC (Criminal) 478, the present petition is disposed of with direction to Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar (respondent No. 2) to look into the said issue and take necessary action in accordance with law.

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

6.10.2021
sjks

Whether reasoned order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No