

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

116

CRWP-9535-2021

Date of decision : 07.10.2021.

Noor Kaur @ Hajra Khatoon and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Dhanvinder Singh Nigha, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Senior DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Savita Sisodia, Advocate for respondents No.4 and 5.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking a direction to the official respondents to protect their life and liberty as they apprehend danger from the private respondents.

It is stated in the petition that the petitioners, who are over 18 years of age, have performed their marriage, which is against the wishes of the relatives of petitioner No.1. Learned counsel for the petitioners has drawn my attention to the representation dated 01.10.2021 (Annexure P-6) sent by the petitioners to respondent No. 2 –Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar.

Learned counsel for respondents No.4 and 5 states that petitioner No.1 is living under pressure and has been forcibly taken away by petitioner No.2.

In pursuance to the order passed by this Court on 06.10.2021, the petitioners are present in the Court through video conferencing and have been identified by their counsel. Petitioner No.1 states that her date of birth is 06.05.1998 and she is living with petitioner No.2 as per her wish

Keeping in view the mandate of Supreme Court in the case of **“Lata Singh V. State of U.P. and another”, JT 2006 (6) SC 173**, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2–Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar, to look into the matter and take appropriate action in accordance with law to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

It is clarified that this order shall not be treated as a stamp of this Court about the marriage of the parties and no opinion with regard to the validity of the marriage has been expressed in this order.

Respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar shall also consider arranging a meeting of petitioner No.1 with respondents No.4 and 5 by making adequate security arrangements.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

October 07, 2021
sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No