

222

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\* \* \*

**CRM-M-37188-2020**

**Decided on : 11<sup>th</sup> January, 2021**

Mohit Aggarwal and others

Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN**

\* \* \*

Present: Mr. Inderjeet Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Deputy Advocate General,  
Haryana.

Mr. Jitender Singh Chahal, Advocate for respondents  
No. 2 to 4.

**Avneesh Jhingan, J. (Oral)**

The matter is taken up for hearing through video conference due to COVID-19 situation.

This is a petition seeking quashing of FIR No. 397, dated 1<sup>st</sup> April, 2019, under Sections 148, 149, 323, 452 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Jagadhri City, District Yamuna Nagar, on the basis of compromise dated 5<sup>th</sup> November, 2019.

Notice was issued, Mr. Jitender Singh Chahal, learned counsel appearing for respondents No. 2 to 4 submits that terms and conditions of the compromise have not been adhered to by the

petitioners. He opposes the quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise.

Mr. Inderjeet Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner, on the other hand, submits that petitioners have complied with the terms and conditions but the respondents have violated the compromise. However, he states that parties will make further efforts to sit together to resolve the issues. He does not press the quashing petition at this stage and seeks liberty to file afresh after the differences are resolved amicably.

The petition is dismissed as not pressed with liberty as prayed for.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]  
JUDGE

11<sup>th</sup> January, 2021  
*pankaj baweja*

|                            |     |
|----------------------------|-----|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes |
| Whether reportable:        | No  |