

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

127

**CR-2241-2021(O&M)
Date of Order: 07.10.2021**

RAJINDER KUMAR

..Petitioner

Versus

NIDHI AND ORS

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

The hearing of the case was held through video conferencing as prayed by the learned counsels.

An application filed by the petitioner for deleting his name from the memo of parties has been dismissed by the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal.

The petitioner claims that he had already sold the vehicle before the occurrence of the accident. Undoubtedly, the petitioner continues to be a registered owner. He has also filed an application for release of the vehicle on *sapurdari*.

Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the revision petition is dismissed. However, at this stage, the learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that there was second prayer in the application to implead the subsequent owner-Rajat Kumar son of Ramesh Kumar as the party respondent.

The petitioner shall have liberty to file a fresh application which will be decided by the Motor Accident Claims Tribunal, in accordance with law.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

October 07, 2021

Ay

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No