

COCP No.2452 of 2020

Date of Decision : 08.02.2021

Sanjeev

...Petitioner

Versus

Parminder Singh Sukhija

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. P.K. Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajveer Singh Parmar, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Learned counsel for the respondent states that payment as per entitlement was made to the petitioner on 03/04.02.2021 vide account payee cheque and that in the circumstances, no further orders are called for in the Contempt Petition and the same may be disposed of as such.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, he does not press the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of, as such, while granting liberty to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the contempt petition, if on reconciliation it is found that amount has not been paid to the petitioner as per his entitlement.
4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the contempt petition is ***disposed of*** as not pressed with the liberty, as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)**Judge****February 08, 2021**

'Rajneesh'