

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-41848 of 2021
Date of Decision : 08.10.2021

Satpal Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ashdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in respect of FIR No.0068 dated 21.05.2021 registered under Sections 326, 324, 323, 506, 148 and 149 of the IPC (Section 307 of IPC and Sections 25, 54 and 59 of the Arms Act deleted during investigation) at Police Station City Shambu, District Patiala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the only allegation against the petitioner is that he inflicted blow on the back of the victim with iron Rod which resulted into a simple injury. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the challan has already been submitted and the trial is likely to take some time before it concludes and, therefore, the petitioner be granted the benefit of regular bail.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab. who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of

advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Learned State counsel submits that the complainant received nine injuries though, concedes that the injury attributed to the petitioner was at the back of the victim and the same was simple in nature. Learned State counsel also concedes that the investigation is over and the challan has already been submitted.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

Once, the injury attributed to the petitioner is simple in nature and the challan has already been presented and the trial is likely to take some time before it concludes, no useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars, especially, when learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes that the petitioner will not obstruct the trial or influence the witnesses whose statements are yet to be recorded, in any manner. In case of default of the above undertaking, the State will be at liberty to approach this Court for passing appropriate orders for the review of this order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, it is directed that the petitioner be released on regular bail, subject to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, it is made clear that anything observed herein shall not be construed to be an expression of any opinion on the merits of the case.

October 8, 2021
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No