

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33305-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 6.12.2021

Anmoljit Singh @ Anmolpreet Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. V.K.Sandhir, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Kaundal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sarthak Soni, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.36 dated 2.3.2019 registered under Sections 336, 506, 34 IPC and Sections 25, 28 of Arms Act at Police Station Chherharta, Police Commissionerate, Amritsar, District Amritsar on the basis of compromise dated 16.5.2019 (Annexure P-2).

The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Rahul Khosla in which he alleged that on the night of 2.3.2019, the accused persons armed with different fire arms came outside his house and petitioner No.1-Anmoljit Singh @ Anmolpreet Singh raised *lalkara* that respondent No.2 be taught a lesson and then petitioner No.1 opened fire from his .315 bore rifle in the air and one Rawal also started firing with his revolver to create terror and thereafter, all the accused persons went away from there after giving threats to respondent No.2.

On notice of motion, respondent No.2 appeared in the Court

case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.

During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

In compliance thereof, report from the Court of JMIC, Amritsar along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners and for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

I am of the view that the aforesaid compromise is in the welfare and interest of the parties and will enable the parties to live in peace and enjoy their life in a dignified manner. Also there is no legal impediment in translating their wishes into reality by putting an end to the criminal prosecution initiated on the basis of FIR in question.

For the reasons aforestated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3)

2.3.2019 registered under Sections 336, 506, 34 IPC and Sections 25, 28 of Arms Act at Police Station Chherharta, Police Commissionerate, Amritsar, District Amritsar and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

Needless to mention that both the parties will abide by the terms and conditions of the compromise Annexure P-2 in letter and spirit.

(**KARAMJIT SINGH**)
JUDGE

December 6, 2021

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No