

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

CRM-M-41729-2021

Date of Decision:05.10.2021

Gaurav Jain

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr.Yash Dev Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Case heard by video conferencing.

By this petition, the petitioner seeks issuance of a direction to respondent no.1 to take legal action on the basis of the complaint against respondents no.3 to 5.

In respect of his grievance, the petitioner is stated to have made a representation dated 11.07.2021, Annexure P-1, (vide an e-mail communication), to the Commissioner of Police, Faridabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage the petitioner would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Commissioner of Police, Faridabad, to go into the grievance of the petitioner in terms of the representation made to him, and to take a decision thereon within a specified period.

Consequently, without going into the merits of the contentions made in the petition, in any manner whatsoever, the Commissioner of Police, Faridabad, is directed to go into the matter and to take a decision as per law on the representation of the petitioner, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. It is made clear that no comment whatsoever is made on the merits of what has been contended by the petitioner, in the petition or in the representation.

05.10.2021

neenu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**