

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-2418-2021 in/and
CRM-M-32388-2019
Date of Decision: 10.02.2021

Kuldeep Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Abhishek Singla, Advocate,
for the applicants-petitioners.

Mr. B.S. Sewak, Addl. A.G., Punjab,
for respondent No.1/State.

Mr. Harmanjot Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.2 to 5.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

CRM-2418-2021

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the prayer for preponement is allowed and the date of hearing in the main case is preponed to today itself.

Application stands disposed off.

CRM-M-32388-2019

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in F.I.R No.66, dated 07.05.2015, under Sections 323, 341, 148 & 149 of the IPC (Section 325 of the IPC added later on vide Rapat No.29, dated

20.05.2015), registered at Police Station Maur, District Bathinda (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings, on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Written Compromise (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

[3]. The Judicial Magistrate First Class, Talwandi Sabo, vide report dated 11.04.2020, received today through e-mail, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The same be kept on record.

[4]. Respondent Nos.2 to 5 are represented by their Counsel through Video Conferencing, who does not dispute the compromise.

[5]. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Talwandi, Sabo, and in view of the decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another**", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "**Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another**", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

[6]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No.66, dated 07.05.2015, under Sections 323, 341, 148 & 149 of the IPC (Section 325 of the IPC added later on vide Rapat No.29, dated 20.05.2015),

registered at Police Station Maur, District Bathinda (Annexure P-1), with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, is hereby quashed qua the present petitioners.

10.02.2021

Bhumika

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
2. Whether reportable: No