

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207

CRM-M-36908 of 2020

Date of Decision: 26.10.2021

Ajay Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Prashant Sethi, Advocate and
Mr. Vivek Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J (ORAL)

Ld. State Counsel, on instructions from ASI Jaipal Singh, submits that while the petitioner has joined investigation in terms of the previous orders, he is not cooperative in the sense that recovery of the gold chain allegedly snatched from the complainant could not be effected.

[2] From his side, Ld. Counsel for the petitioner draws attention of the Court to the fact that the dispute/controversy and old enmity between the two groups of persons belonging to the third gender has been going on for a very long time of almost 12 years since 08.11.2009, as already noted in the previous order dated 10.11.2020. He, therefore, submits that the story of alleged snatching of gold is simply a trumped one in order to cause harassment to the petitioner when the dispute between the concerned groups has been ongoing on much more serious counts for a very long time.

[3] In view of the above circumstances, this Court is of the opinion that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner at this stage would not be required any further.

[4] For this reason, the interim bail granted to the petitioner earlier on 10.11.2020 is, hereby, confirmed.

[5] Disposed off.

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

26.10.2021

D.Bansal

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No