

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

210-5

CWP No.25999 of 2016 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 18th JANUARY, 2021

Geeta Rani

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

.... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

=====

In virtual Court

=====

Present : None for the petitioner.

Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. M. S. Longia, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Mr. H. S. Dhindsa, Advocate for respondent No.3.

Mr. G. S. Sandhu, Advocate for respondents No.4 & 5.

* * * *

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No.1 to 3 to stake strict legal action against respondents No.4 & 5, along with certain other prayers.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner today.

The counsel for respondents No.4 and 5 submits that the

No.3-Punjab Medical Council has conducted an enquiry and has exonerated respondents No.4 & 5. Qua the report of the PGI, Chandigarh, in favour of the petitioner, it is submitted that the PGI has never examined the patient in-person. In any case, that issue would require evidence to be led by the parties. Therefore, the petitioner may, at the best, have right to raise grievance before any other forum, where parties can lead evidence.

In view of above, this court does not find it appropriate to continue with the present petition any more. Hence, the petition is dismissed as infructuous.

However, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail any other remedy; before any other forum, in accordance with law, if any one of her sustainable grievance still remains to be settled.

All the pending applications shall stand disposed of accordingly.

18th JANUARY, 2021
'raj'

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes

No

Whether Reportable:

Yes

No