

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(130)

CRWP-9376-2021

Date of Decision : September 29, 2021

Pinku

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. D.C. Dhaula, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.
(keeping in view the advance copy given).

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed seeking a writ in the nature of Habeas Corpus for the release of the alleged detenues, the details of whom have been given in paragraph 4 of the petition, from custody of respondents No. 4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the detenues, whose details have been given in paragraph 4 of the petition, are being treated as bonded labour, which is against the law and therefore, these detenues need to be got released from the unlawful custody of respondents No. 4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner will be satisfied, in case, a direction is issued to the Deputy Commissioner, Moga to look into the grievance of the petitioner and take appropriate action.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the present petition has been filed without approaching the Deputy Commissioner, Moga in any manner and therefore, if the petitioner has any grievance, he should approach the Deputy Commissioner, Moga with the said grievance by filing appropriate representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner will file appropriate representation before the Deputy Commissioner, Moga raising the grievance as raised in the present petition and the Deputy Commissioner, Moga be directed to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order expeditiously in a time bound manner.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, any representation is received from the petitioner, the same will be decided within a period of two weeks from the receipt of the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the above, without going into the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation, if any, made by the petitioner, after conducting due enquiry, by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of two weeks from the receipt of the same.

September 29, 2021
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No