

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

108

CRR(F)-386-2020 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 19.02.2021

PANKAJ

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

POOJA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Ravi Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Heard through video conferencing.

The petitioner has challenged the order dated 18.12.2019 passed by the Family Court, whereby he has been directed to pay a sum of Rs.10,000/- per month as maintenance to the respondent-wife.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has lost his job during the lockdown and he is now doing labour work. At present, his income is Rs.9500/-. He further submits that the respondent is working in a beauty parlour and is earning Rs.15,000/- per month.

Heard.

The petitioner, in his petition filed under Section 12 of the Hindu Marriage Act, has stated that he has MBA degree and is pursuing course of Chartered Accountant. In the affidavit filed by him before this Court, he has admitted that he has done MBA. The other details as mentioned in the affidavit appear to be dubious as he has disclosed his income as Rs.9500/- and the rent he is paying is Rs.4000/- per month. He has not disclosed whether he owns any vehicle. In the column against the household expenditure including expenses on food, grocery and various other items he has written 'No'

meaning thereby, he is not incurring any expenses on his food and daily items. The respondent is stated to have qualified 10+2. There is no material which would indicate that she is employed. The petitioner is an MBA and, therefore, the maintenance of Rs.10,000/- per month for the respondent-wife cannot be held to be excessive.

Consequently, I do not find any merit in this petition. The petition stands dismissed.

19.02.2021*SwarnjitS***(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No